REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art. More specifically, claims 8 and 15 have been amended to correct typographical errors, and the amendments do not add new matter or alter the scope of the claims.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,501,476 (hereinafter "Gould").

Claim 1 includes a limitation of adding an edit feature to a presentation to create a revised presentation in response to a user edit command, and creating a proxy of the revised presentation and displaying the proxy during the adding. Gould does not teach such a limitation, and therefore does not anticipate claim 1. Instead, Gould teaches several proxy effects having associated caches for storing the effect's output as soon as it becomes available, thereby allowing re-use of data from effects in a chain of effects (Col. 4, lines 21-27). Also, Gould teaches where the proxy effects are provided for each plug in (Col. 9, lines 65-66). Therefore, the proxy as in the claims and the proxy effect as taught by Gould are not of the same nature, and Gould cannot anticipate claim 1. There are a limited number of proxy effects in Gould, a proxy effect for the "main" server, and one for each of the plug-ins (See Fig. 14, Col. 9, lines 64-66). However, the limitation in claim 1 creates a proxy for an added edit feature. As taught by Gould, each plug-in, and therefore, each specific effect, can have only one proxy effect, no matter how many times that specific effect is used. Each proxy effect is associated with a specific effects server, and each effects server with a specific

Appl. No. 09/680,107

Amdt. dated May 20, 2003

plug-in (Col. 4, lines 26-27 and 40-44). The proxy effects apply a plug-in and create a cached copy of the output, so that the effects may be used again if the exact same output is needed. Further, the proxy effects help the plug-ins to operate, so that certain portions of software may be created in the proxy effect rather than the plug-in (Col. 10, lines 5-14). Therefore, the proxy effects as taught by Gould assist the effects processing of the software to reduce the amount of necessary processing, rather than the proxy as taught by claim 1, which is created in response to a user command and displayed during the adding of an edit feature.

In addition, the proxy effects as taught by Gould are contained in the core processor in the core portion of the architecture (See Figs. 3-5, Col. 4, lines 19-27 and lines 34-44). The objects in the core portion of the architecture are loaded into memory regardless of which special effects the user wants to implement (Col. 4, lines 37-39). The claims include a limitation of adding an edit feature to the presentation, where a proxy is created *during the adding*. Since the proxy effect as taught by Gould is part of the core architecture, it must be loaded when the program is started, and there are a limited number of proxy effects. Also, the proxy effect is located in the core processing section of the architecture, and is not directly linked with the viewer window (See Fig. 3). Therefore, it cannot be said that the proxy effect as taught by Gould is displayed, as in many of the pending claims. Therefore, Gould does not teach where a proxy is created and displayed during the adding, and Gould does not anticipate claim 1.

Claims 8, 15, and 21 include limitations similar to those taught by claim 1. Therefore, claims 8, 15, and 21 are not anticipated by Gould for the same reasons that claim 1 is not anticipated by Gould. Further, claims 2-7, 9-14, 16-20, and 22-26 depend from the above discussed independent claims. As such, the

Appl. No. 09/680,107

Amdt. dated May 20, 2003

dependent claims include all of the limitations of the previously discussed independent claims. Therefore, the dependent claims include a limitation not taught by Gould, and are not anticipated by Gould.

Appl. No. 09/680,107 Amdt. dated May 20, 2003

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call Arlen M. Hartounian at (408) 720-8300.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: May 20, 2003

Arlen M. Hartounian

Reg. No. 52,997

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 (408) 720-8300

Appl. No. 09/680,107 Amdt. dated May 20, 2003